

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,005	11/02/2001	Federico Mailland	9056-5CT	3851
20792	7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
PO BOX 3742 RALEIGH, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/016,005	MAILLAND, FEDER	ICO			
Autiony Aution	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Micah-Paul Young	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avfinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmente explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	• • •		and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:		t				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· ^ <	γ			
10. Other:		Matter	10			
		Micah-Paŭl Youkg Examiner Art Unit: 1615	_			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added claims 52-55 which recite specific ratios require further consideration and a new search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Zuger discloses ergot alkaloid derivates in combination with hydrophillic polymers such as cellulosic ethers, and gums, the reference meets the requirement of the instant claims.

o

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600